



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,817	11/22/2002	Anthony Chao	36287.03900	9643	
21967	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	WILLIAMS LLP	PRIETO, BEATRIZ			
1900 K STREI	JAL PROPERTY DEPAR' ET, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1200		2142			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/065.817 CHAO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2142 Prieto Beatriz All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Prieto Beatriz (Prim Ex). (2) King, Robert (42,738). Date of Interview: 07 June 2006. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: US 20040054854 Thivagaranjan. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

### **Summary of Record of Interview Requirements**

#### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
  attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
  not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested a telephonic interview and faxed required written request/agenda (see attached). Regarding claim 1, applicant argued that although the applied reference teaches performing a periodic refresh of the data cache, it does not teach that the data cache be updated and that the information on the client computer be updated. Examiner reviewed claim 1 and replied that applicant seems to continue to argue features that are not recited in the claims, as noted on response to argument section on the last office action. Since it seems that this is a point of disagreement that may not be resolve, Applicant is urged to file an appeal brief setting forth explicitly why and how does the recitation of at least claim 1, "require both that the data cache be updated and that the information on the client computer be updated" as argued. Applicant indicated that most likely he will amend the claims to more clearly defined his client's invention.

BEATRIZ PRIETO
PRIMARY EXAMINER



600 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. SUITE 4100 ATLANTA, GA 30308

404 • 888 • 4000 404 • 602 • 9050 FAX

TO

NAME

FIRM

B. Prieto

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

FAX NO .:

571-273-3902

PAGES (INCLUDING COVER):

3

ORIGINAL TO FOLLOW IN MAIL:

☐ Yes 🖾 No

**FROM** 

NAME:

Robert A. King, Esq.

DIRECT DIAL:

404-888-4136

MESSAGE

In re Application Of: CHAO et al.

Examiner:

B. Prieto

Application No.:

10/065,817

2142 Art Unit:

Filed: Title:

November 22, 2002

Docket No.: 72167.000580 METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CLIENT BROWSER UPDATE

FROM A LITE CACHE

IF PROBLEM WITH TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT OPERATOR AT 404 + 888 + 4027.

**OPERATOR** 

DATE:

May 26, 2006

**CLIENT/MATTER NO.:** 

72167.000580

This communication is confidential and is intended to be privileged pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the work-product doctrine. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.

PTOL-413A (05-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form							
Application No.: 10/065 Examiner: Prieto, I	3.	First Named Applican Art Unit: 2142	t: CHAO et a	al. lication: <u>Final</u>	ly rejected		
Tentative Participants: (1) Robert A. Kins	<u>.                                    </u>	_ (2)					
(3)		(4)					
Proposed Date of Interview:June					_(AM/ <b>RMD</b>		
Type of Interview Requ (1) [A] Telephonic	ested: (2) [ ] Perso	nal (3) [ ] Video	Conference				
Exhibit To Be Shown or If yes, provide brief des	r Demonstra cription:	ated: [ ] YES	DAI NO		·		
		Issues To Be Dis					
700 M T F	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed		
(1) Rej.	1-14	Art <u>Thiyagaranjan</u>	[]	[]	[]		
(2)	_		[]	[]	[]		
(3)		_	[]	[]	[]		
			[]	[]	[]		
(4) [ ] Continuation Sheet	Attached						
Brief Description of A	rguments to	be Presented:					
See attached	sheet						
(see MPEP § 713.01).	be delayed for policant is adv	e above-identified appliced by applicant and submom issue because of applicing to file a statement of a state	cant's failure to s the substance of	ubmit a writter	record of this 37 CFR 1.133(b))		
Robert A. Kir. Typed/Printed Name of 42,738 Registration	of Applicant	or Representative		_			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to uspect of process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including gathering, preparing, require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, comments of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, comments of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, comments of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, comments of time your require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, comments of the complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, comments of the complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, and the complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, and the complete this form and the complete this TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

U.S. APPLICATION NO. 10/065,817
OLD ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 36287.03900
NEW ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 72167.000580

# Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form Continuation Sheet

Applicants respectfully request a telephonic interview to discuss the following issues regarding the current rejection of claims 1-14 as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0054854 to Thiyagaranjan et al. ("Thiyagaranjan"):

- 1. Claim 11 recites the steps of "performing a periodic refresh of the data cache," and "responsive to the change in the data cache, sending a message to the client; and responsive to the message, automatically requesting the changed data."

  Thus, this claim requires both that the data cache be updated and that the information on the client computer be updated.
- 2. Thiyagaranjan does not disclose both of these claimed steps. Thiyagaranjan only discloses a hybrid method of updating cache memory. See Thiyagaranjan, ¶ 0017.
- 3. Thiyagaranjan does not disclose any additional messages, notifications, etc. being sent to users (e.g., Fig. 6, eles. 230, 231, 232). Accordingly, Thiyagaranjan does not disclose the claimed steps of sending users a message "responsive to the change in the data cache" or that the users "automatically request[] the changed data" responsive to the message.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Independent claims 11, 12, 13, and 14 recite similar limitations.